	Unite	D STATES DISTR	ICT COURT	
<u>,</u>	Western	District of	North Carolina	
UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA	L		
	v.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	William Kevin Innes	Case Numl	per: 5:09CR27-2	
_	Defendant			
In accordan detention of the	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U. defendant pending trial in this case	•	as been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact				
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.			
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in  *				
§ 3 ☐ (2) The of	3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st fense described in finding (1) was c	ate or local offenses. committed while the defendant was or	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
	(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4) Finding	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
m (1) Thorn	in muchable access to believe that the	Alternative Findings (A)		
		defendant has committed an offense onment of ten years or more is prescri	bed in	
	der 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		17.7	
	rendant has not rebutted the presumpearance of the defendant as require		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
Alternative Findings (B)				
<ul> <li>(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.</li> <li>(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.</li> </ul>				
***************************************				
<del></del>				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that				
derance of the evidence that De Fihdrat waits hearing.				
			0	
4				
to the extent pra reasonable oppo Government, the	nt is committed to the custody of the cticable, from persons awaiting or rtunity for private consultation wit	serving sentences or being held in on h defense counsel. On order of a co-	presentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, sustody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a surt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
Date Signature of Judge				
United States Magistrate Judge				
		Λ	ame and Title of Judge	

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).